Experiences and Practices to Debug Simulators

Building and Working in Environments for Embodied AI (part IV)

CVPR 2022 Tutorial
Simulations can Produce Many Unexpected Behavior
Overview

● We are going to talk about
  ○ How to identify potential problems when a simulation environment behaves unexpectedly.
  ○ How to debug and improve an environment.

● This section is mainly for people with some experience in embodied AI.

Code used in this section https://github.com/haosulab/cvpr-tutorial-2022
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Causes of common bugs: Conventions in Robotics

- Quaternion representations
- Euler-angle representations
- Default coordinate frames
- Joint order of different software and real robot
Quaternion Representations

- Quaternion has 2 conventions:
  - XYZW (Vector First):
    - ROS, PyBullet, PhysX, scipy, Unity
  - WXYZ (Scalar First):
    - SAPIEN, transforms3d, Eigen, Blender, MuJoCo, V-Rep, PyTorch3d, numpy-quaternion
  - Everytime you use quaternion, check the convention.
Euler Angle Representations

- Euler Angle has even more conventions
  - 24 conventions (includes Tait–Bryan angles)
- Even for an “xyz” convention, there are two possibilities:
  - Intrinsic rotations (rotating): coordinate axes attached to a moving body
  - Extrinsic rotations (static): coordinate axes attached to a static body
- If s or r is not specified, test it before use

24 Euler Angle Conventions in transforms3d

pytorch3d.transforms.euler_angles_to_matrix(euler_angles: torch.Tensor, convention: str) -> torch.Tensor

Convert rotations given as Euler angles in radians to rotation matrices.

Parameters:
- euler_angles – Euler angles in radians as tensor of shape (..., 3).
- convention – Convention string of three uppercase letters from “X”, “Y”, and “Z”.

Returns: Rotation matrices as tensor of shape (..., 3, 3).
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Default Coordinate Frames

- Objects changes orientation when modeled in Blender, exported as obj, and imported in SAPIEN.

- Different software and file formats use different coordinate frame conventions.

Blender .obj exporter changes the frame by default. SAPIEN does not make frame assumptions based on format.
Default Coordinate Frames

- Objects changes orientation when modeled in Blender, exported as obj, and imported in SAPIEN.
- Different software and file formats use different coordinate frame conventions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Convention</th>
<th>Blender Model</th>
<th>Blender Camera</th>
<th>OpenGL Model/Camera</th>
<th>ROS Model/Camera</th>
<th>OpenCV Camera</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward Up</td>
<td>+Y</td>
<td>+Z</td>
<td>−Z</td>
<td>+Y</td>
<td>+Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+Z</td>
<td>−Z</td>
<td>−Z</td>
<td>+Z</td>
<td>−Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These are common choices, not always true and may be customized.
Default Coordinate Frames

- Objects changes orientation when modeled in Blender, exported as obj, and imported in SAPIEN.

- Different software and file formats use different coordinate frame conventions.

- Tip: visualize and inspect loaded models when using assets from a new source.
Joint Order of Robots

- Even with the same URDF, different software can parse the order of joints in different ways.

- Common Issue:
  a. Train an RL algorithm to control a robot in a simulator.
  b. Action space is defined as joint velocity/position/force.
  c. Deploy the RL policy on a real robot.
  d. Joint order may not match between simulator and real robot.
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Causes of common bugs: Simulation Assets

- Gaps between collision and visual mesh
- Collision shapes changed after loading
- Issues in objects with small mass/inertia
- Self-collision from bad modeling
- Issues in empty robot links
Gap Between Collision and Visual Mesh

- Robots often provide 2 types of meshes
  - **Visual**: for rendering only (fancy-looking)
  - **Collision**: for simulation (low-poly, often convex)
  - What you see is not used for collision checking!
  - Run empty.py
Collision Shapes Change After Loading

- Issue posted to SAPIEN Github
  - An oven is loaded in PyBullet
  - A cube is shot out with seemingly no collision
- Can reproduce in SAPIEN (a completely different framework)
  - Run convex.py
Collision Shapes Change After Loading

- Most simulations require **convex** collision shapes and will take the convex hull of provided collision shapes.
- **Solution**
  - Use **Approximate Convex Decomposition** to represent the collision shape.
  - V-HACD is the most choice and is built into PyBullet.
  - Collision-aware ACD developed at our lab preserves detailed structures better.

“As is”  V-HACD

https://github.com/kmammou/v-hacd
https://colin97.github.io/CoACD/
Small Mass/Inertia

- Sometimes, a loaded object does not respond to any applied force/torque
  - If the mass/inertia is too small, the simulation may not be able to simulate it due to floating point error, or simply by design.
  - Run small_mass.py
  - Quick check: mass and inertia should be greater than 1e-7
  - Increase the mass and inertia to see if the issue goes away
Self-Collision from Bad Modeling

- URDF from Github may not be perfect
  - If your algorithm does not work, do not blame it…
  - Maybe the robot model has some problems
  - Run check_urdf.py
    - `u=../assets/allegro_hand_description/allegro_hand.urdf`
  - The palm and thumb finger link collide (in red) at initial joint position, leading to unstable motion
  - Check the URDF and resolve undesired self-collisions first
Empty Robot Links

- Empty/dummy link:
  - No geometry are attached
  - Often used as connector between non-empty links

- Empty link may influence robot dynamics
  - Add additional mass/inertia onto the robot
    - E.g. PyBullet gives a warning and set mass to 1(kg)!
    - It can dominate dynamics when connected links have small mass, e.g. robot finger (~0.01 kg)

```xml
<link name="panda_link8"/>
<joint name="panda_joint8" type="fixed">
  <origin rpy="0 0 0" xyz="0 0 0.107"/>
  <parent link="panda_link7"/>
  <child link="panda_link8"/>
  <axis xyz="0 0 0"/>
</joint>
```

Link8 of the panda robot is an empty link
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Causes of common bugs: Physical Simulator

- Simulation reset
- Undesired penetration
- Unstable grasping
- Contact properties
Simulation Reset

- Run reset.py
- Resetting simulation to a previous state
  - Positions
  - Velocities
  - Constraints (e.g. controller parameters, controller targets)
- Simulation is not always deterministic
  - Resetting and replaying may not result in the same outcome
  - Mainly caused by iterative constraint solvers
Undesired Penetration

- **Time step**
  - Run stack.py
  - Taking smaller steps almost always make the solver more stable
  - Smaller steps means slower simulation

- **Solver iterations**

Max solver iterations

2 5
Grasping Stability: Friction and Solver Parameters

- Most likely
  - The block is too heavy and the gripping force and friction coefficient are not large enough
  - Run friction.py
  - Debug method: try to increase the friction, and verify the change.

- Other possible reasons
  - Time step too large
  - Solver iterations too small
Contact Properties

- What is a contact
  - Objects with distance smaller than a threshold
  - Most use cases want contacts with force instead of all contacts
  - E.g. this is a contact
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Causes of common bugs:

Renderer

- Definition of depth map (z depth vs distance)
- Renderer depth buffer (z-buffer)
- Depth of transparent objects
- Point cloud from depth
- Matrices in vision and rendering
Depth Map

- Many possible ways to provide the depth map
  - Z depth: distance along the camera axis (most common)
    - May be positive or negative
  - Distance (ray depth): distance along the camera ray
Depth Buffer

● Many possible ways to provide the depth map
  ○ Z [linear] depth: distance along the camera axis
  ○ Z-buffer depth: raw depth from renderer depth buffer
    ■ Range [0, 1], not linear
    ■ Convert from z-buffer depth to linear depth

\[
z_l = \frac{1}{\text{lerp}(1/n, 1/f, z_b)} \quad n: \text{near clip plane} \quad f: \text{far clip plane}
\]

Note: this is the most common choice. There are other z-buffer conventions. Run a test when in doubt.
Depth of Transparent Objects

● Should we include or ignore the transparent object?
  ○ Most environments include the transparent object
  ○ SAPIEN lets you choose
Converting depth maps to point clouds is not always easy. (See next slides)

Tips

- Look for a built-in API to get point clouds and hope it exists.
- Visualize and inspect the point clouds with some library, e.g.
  - Trimesh
  - Open3D
Matrices in Vision and Rendering

- Vision community and graphics community use different matrices to represent the camera
  - Graphics: model matrix, view matrix, projection matrix
  - Vision: extrinsic matrix, intrinsic matrix
Matrices in Vision and Rendering

- Convention for camera coordinate frame

**Rendering/OpenGL**
- Forward: $-Z$
- Upward: $+Y$

**Vision/OpenCV**
- Forward: $+Z$
- Upward: $-Y$
Matrices in Vision and Rendering

- **View Matrix vs Extrinsic Matrix**
  - Model matrix (4x4): rendering camera pose in world frame
  - View matrix (4x4): inverse of model matrix, transforms points in the world frame to points in the rendering camera frame
  - Extrinsic matrix (3x4): view matrix but in the vision convention
Matrices in Vision and Rendering

- Projection Matrix vs Intrinsic Matrix
Matrices in Vision and Rendering

- **Projection Matrix vs Intrinsic Matrix**

Projection Matrix: project points to normalized device coordinates (NDC).

NDC is often a unit cube, sometimes the depth (z-buffer) is in range [0,1] instead of [-1,1].
Matrices in Vision and Rendering

- **Projection Matrix vs Intrinsic Matrix**

Intrinsic Matrix: project points to image coordinates with linear depth
Matrices in Vision and Rendering

- **Projection Matrix vs Intrinsic Matrix**

Connect NDC with image coordinates: a linear “viewport transform” plus a depth conversion.
Matrices in Vision and Rendering

- **Projection Matrix vs Intrinsic Matrix**

Projection matrix:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{2f_x}{W} & -\frac{2s}{W} & -\frac{2c_x}{W} + 1 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{2f_y}{H} & \frac{2c_y}{H} - 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{-(f+n)}{f-n} & \frac{-2fn}{f-n} \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Intrinsic matrix:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
f_x & s & c_x \\
0 & f_y & c_y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Matrices in Vision and Rendering

- Different projection matrix conventions
  - Avoid projection matrices whenever possible
  - Perform extensive testing
Too Many Transformations...
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Causes of common bugs:

Controller

- Gripper with non-parallel motion: Robotiq Gripper
- Position controller vs “set position”
- Balancing passive force
- Unstable motion of End-Effector(EE) controller
- Joint limits in controller design
Gripper with Non-Parallel Motion

- Some grippers, e.g. Robotiq, has non-parallel motion generated from 6 inter-dependent joints
- Direct loading into simulator -> joints are independent
- Issue: mechanical constraint is not well-modeled in the URDF
Gripper with Non-Parallel Motion

- Run `robotiq.py -c`

- By adding constraints, the motion can be modeled

- However, adding loop constraints also brings instability

- Be cautious when using such tricks
Balance Passive Force

- “My robot never reaches target positions. Are my PD controllers bad?”

- PD controller target is only reached when there are no other forces.
  - Passive forces
    - Gravity
    - Centrifugal and Coriolis force

- Augmented PD Control: compute and apply additional joint force/torque to balance passive forces along with PD controllers.
Position Controller vs Set Position

- During dynamics simulation, never **set** position/pose.

- Position controller
  - Compute force/torque
  - Respect physics

- Set position
  - Teleport to configuration
  - Do it no matter what.
Unstable Motion of EE Control

● “Why my robot arm is sometimes shaking?”

● IK solving is not stable when close to singularity. Possible solution:
  ○ Increase the control frequency
  ○ Increase damping in the IK solver.

● Compare `ee_control.py -d=0.01` and `ee_control.py -d=0.05`
Joint Limits in Controller Design

● “My robot end-effector does not move as desired.”

● Most IK solver/EE controller does not consider joint limit
  ○ Check whether the robot reaches a joint limit when observing undesired controller behavior.
  ○ Try to avoid reaching joint limits in your algorithm design.
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Common Issue: Environment Speed

- Optimizing environment speed is hard
- General guideline
  - Debug in a single process/thread
  - Build a profiler. Profile the following
    - Total time for stepping simulation
    - Total time for rendering functions
    - Total time for expensive planning/network evaluation
    - Other time
Profiler Examples

- Habitat’s visual profiler tutorial
  - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l4MjX598ZYs&list=PLGywud-HICORC0c4uj97oppQrGiB6JNy
  - Py-spy for Python code
  - Nsight for CUDA
  - Their approaches can be applied to any other python-based environments
- SAPIEN can be additionally compiled with easy-profiler.
  - It profiles some C++ functions that are hidden in python.
Rendering Speed

- Rendering is the bottleneck
  - Check your loaded meshes
    - Are there meshes with millions of triangles?
  - Check number of objects
  - Switch to a lighter renderer
    - If you do not need RGB, switch to a depth-only renderer can save time and memory
Physical Simulation Speed

- Physical simulation is the bottleneck
  - If single step is consistently slow
    - Check whether there is undesired collision.
    - Inspect number of objects in the scene.
    - Are there objects with very complex collision?
  - If the time for a single step varies
    - It is typically slow when there are a lot of collisions
    - Disable unnecessary collision checking may help
Summary

- Conventions in robotics
- Simulation assets
- Physical simulator
- Renderer
- Controller
- Environment speed
Q & A

- Contact: Fanbo Xiang (fxiang@eng.ucsd.edu)
- Please also share your story on debugging environments so we can improve this section in the future!